



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/695,898	10/24/2000	Donald F. Gordon	19880-000610	3377
26291 75	90 11/18/2004		EXAM	INER
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN L.L.P.			LEE, Y YOUNG	
595 SHREWSBURY AVE, STE 100 FIRST FLOOR SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/695,898	GORDON, DONALD F.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Y. Lee	2613
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 77 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of the complete of the	a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 3 <u>1 August 2004</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice		-
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-20 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-20 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected t	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in the priority documents have bee I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	· -	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/695,898

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-8, 10, 12-15, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U₂S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yang et al (6,005,620) for the same reasons as set forth in Section 2 of the last office action, dated 6/10/04.

Yang et al, in Figure 4, discloses a statistical multiplexer for live and precompressed video that is the same system and method for inserting a second
compressed video stream 52 into a first compressed video stream 30 as specified in
claims 1-8, 10, and 12-20 of the present invention, comprising receiving the first
compressed video stream 30; determining a profile 40 for the first compressed video
stream 30; encoding a second video 32 in accordance with a particular encoding
scheme 42 and further with a profile similar to the profile 40 of the first compressed
video stream 30 to generate the second compressed video stream 52; controlling the
encoding of the second video 32 based at least in part on the profile 40 of the first
compressed video stream 30; and inserting 34 the second compressed video stream 52
into the first compressed video stream 30.

Application/Control Number: 09/695.898

Art Unit: 2613

With respect to claims 2-8, 10, and 12-20, Yang et al also discloses determining the profile 78 for the second compressed video stream; wherein the encoding of the second video 32 is controlled such that a profile 42 for the second compressed video stream 52 is similar to the profile 40 for the first compressed video stream 30 at approximately a point in time when the second compressed video stream 52 is inserted into the first compressed video stream 30; the encoding of the second video 32 is further controlled such that the profile 42 for the second compressed video stream 52 is similar to the profile 42 for the first compressed video stream 30 at approximately a point in time when the first compressed video stream 30 is inserted back into the second compressed video stream 52; initially multiplexing the first compressed video stream 30 as an output video stream 36; multiplexing the second compressed video stream 52 as the output video stream 38 at a point in time when the inserting 34 is to be achieved; splicing the second compressed video stream 52 to the first compressed video stream 30; pausing the first compressed video stream 30 for the time during which the second compressed video stream 52 is multiplexed as the output video stream 38; receiving a second control signal 42 indicative of a second time period within which the inserting is to be performed and initiating the encoding of the second video 32 in response to receiving the second control signal 42; buffering the second compressed video stream 52 prior to the inserting; wherein the profile 42 for the first compressed video stream 30 includes bit rate information 40 related to the first compressed video stream 30; the profile for the first compressed video stream 30 further includes video

Application/Control Number: 09/695,898

Art Unit: 2613

buffering verifier buffer information 86 used for the encoding; and the second video 32 is encoded in accordance with an MPEG encoding scheme.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang et al for the same reasons as set forth in Section 4 of the previous office action, dated 8/14/03.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/31/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts on pages 7 and 8 of the Remarks that Yang et al fails to disclose splicing. However, according to applicant's own definition in line 5 on page 10 of the Specification, the word "splicing" is merely defined as "inserting". Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have had no difficulty in recognizing that the multiplexing means 34 of Yang et al is performing an inserting function that is consistent with applicant's intended meaning.

Furthermore, even applicant's own claimed invention (e.g. claim 14, lines 11-12) specifically claims that it is a multiplexer that is used to perform the common splicing function.

Application/Control Number: 09/695,898

Art Unit: 2613

Applicant also asserts on page 8 of the Remarks that Yang et al fails to disclose encoding a second video to have a profile similar to a first stream. However, as specified in Section 3 of the last office action, Figure 4 of Yang et al discloses that encoding 52 of a second video stream 32 depends on the profile 40 of the first compressed video stream 30 to produce a spliced stream 34.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-7584.

The examiner can normally be reached on (703) 308-7584.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Y. Lee

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2613